



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/204,427	12/03/1998	HEDI HADDADA	8076.102USC1	5504
-23552 7	7590 04/03/2003			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			WILSON, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	27

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/204,427	HADDADA ET AL.			
, and the state of	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael C. Wilson	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a)					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b		от те арреат.			
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(a) ☐ they raise flew issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below), (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the					
issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-18 and 23-25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	MICHAEL WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER	WW			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 009/204,427

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation of the percentage of regression would require considerations of the art and enablement not previously required. The phrase "promoter present in said replication-defective adenoviral vector or an exogenous promoter" would require consideration under new matter not previously required.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants arguments are based on the amendment which has not been entered; therefore, applicants arguments are moot. The pending claims remain rejected under new matter, written description, enablement, indefiniteness and obviousness for reasons of record.

-